FY20: Sustaina	ble Materials Management		_		ing Opp	ortunity	
	Supporting Anaerobic Dige		ommunit	ies KFA			
	~Scoring		h!!				
Grants.gov Tracking Number	Instructions: Please fill out all of the GRANT13166548	information in t	ne cells provid	lea.			1
Applicant Name	Center for Ecotechnology						1
Project Title: State	Northeast Anaerobic Digestion Accelerator  Massachusetts						Exemption 6:
Region	R1						Personal
Reviewer's First and Last Name Reviewer's Organization and Region							Privacy
Reviewer 3 Organization and Region							, ,
	Total Ranking Score (100 F	oint Maximum)	Pre-Panel Score	Panel Score Changes	Final Score		Exemption 5: Deliberative
	Evaluation	n Criteria					Process
directly and explicitly address these criteri		A). Failure to foll	ow the require	d template in Ap	pendix A may r	esult in a reduction of up to 5	
<ul> <li>The extent and quality to which the narra Section 1, Parts B (Scope of Work),</li> <li>(EPA Strategic Plan Linkage),</li> </ul>	itive proposal includes a well-conceived strategy for	addressing the r	equirements i	n			
and D (Measuring Environmental Results: A	nticipated Outcomes and Outputs). (15 Points)	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes:	4
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change	Eventeder F
							Exemption 5: Deliberative
					14		Process
<ul> <li>b. The extent and quality to which the narra project end. (10 points)</li> </ul>	ative proposal sets forth a clear and reasonable time				eving the projec		7700033
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Exemption 5
					7		Deliberative
Did the applicant use the Template for Nar	rative Proposal?	Yes		1			Process
Criterion 2: Environmental Results and Per	formance Measurement: Anticipated Outcomes an	d Outputs (0-20	points total)				
	sluated based on the extent to which the "Narrative ad on the extent and quality to which the application		tically describe	s how the projec	ct will lead to m	easurable environmental	
Measuring Environmental Results: Anticipa	neasure success in meeting the project s objectives. ted Outcomes and Outputs. Outcomes and outputs r ement. Include quantitative targets as appropriate. (	nust be quantita					
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	Exemption 5
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Fillal Score	Reason for Score Change	Deliberative
					10		Process
b. Describes the plan for achieving project r	esults (including the outcomes and outputs identifie			Coore Cham		Doet Discussion Nata	1100003
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	
							Exemption 5 Deliberative
			.		4		Process
c. Describes how the project is transferable	to other communities. (5 points)				4		1
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Exemption 5
							Deliberative
Criterian 2. Bermany vi Contilli	Designation of the second of t				5		Process
Criterion 3: Programmatic Capability and P Under this criterion, applicants will be evalu	ast Performance (0-15 points) lated based on their ability to successfully complete	and manage the	proposed pro	ject, taking into	account:		1
	ting and managing projects, including but not limited					in Section 4 of this	1
a. Past performance in successfully complet announcement. (5 points)	and managing projects, including but not infinited	. to reactar and r	ion reactards:	J. Stance agreeme	s acscribed	occuon 4 or una	
Strongths (Pagesse for any discountry)	Wasknesses /Pa	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	Exemption !
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)		Reason for Score Change	Deliberative
					5		Process
b. History of meeting the reporting require	- ments under the assistance agreements described in	Section 4 of this	announceme	nt. Identify whet	her your organ	ization submitted acceptable	110003
	ents and the extent to which the applicant adequatel uch progress was not being made whether the applic				ds achieving the	e expected outputs and	

rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change	Exemption
					5		Deliberat Process
ganizational experience and plan for ti	mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the	proposed proje	ct. This could	include staff exp	ertise/qualifica	tions, staff knowledge, and	
urces or the ability to obtain them, to s	successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project			T			Exemption
engths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	•
engine (neasons for an arang points)	Treatmesses (neasons for not awarang points)	Descriptor	565.6	(.,,	5	neason for score enange	Delibera
erion 4: Project Sustainability 0-15 pol	nts total)						Process
er this criterion, applicants will be evalu	uated based on the extent and quality to which:						
ne applicant can demonstrate its ability pints)	to promote and continue efforts to support AD after	EPA funding for	r this project h	nas ended, as des	cribed in Section	on 1 of the RFA.	
rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Exemption
					4		Delibera
	which the project supports a state or local mandate, p	oolicy, or commu	unity priority t	o remove food w	aste and organ	ic materials from the municipal	Process
e streams. (10 points)		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes:	
engths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change	Exemption
erion 5: Effective Partnerships (0-10 pp	ints total				10		Delibera
•	te the application based on how well the applicant ac	ddresses the foll	lowing:				Process
ps in project performance will be evalu	e project and their experience working with the targe lated based on the extent to which they demonstrate	e how they will b	oe able to effe	ctively perform a		The state of the s	
		Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)		The state of the s	Exemptio
ups in project performance will be evalu aboration. <mark>(10 points)</mark>	ated based on the extent to which they demonstrate	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	ind complete th	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	•
ps in project performance will be evaluboration. (10 points) engths (Reasons for awarding points) erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Exemption Deliberate Process
ps in project performance will be evaluated boration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluated	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Reasons for not awarding points) te the proposed project budget and narrative to deter	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera
ups in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluate	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera
ups in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluate	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Reasons for not awarding points) te the proposed project budget and narrative to deter	Pre-Panel Descriptor ermine the exterental outcomes	Pre-Panel Score at and quality (3 points)	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding rits criterion, the Agency will evaluate osts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds te the proposed project budget and narrative to detectoposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  ermine the exterental outcomes Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score at and quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-) to which:	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  Post-Discussion Notes:	Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluate osts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (P. 10 points O. 10)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm	Pre-Panel Descriptor  ermine the exterental outcomes Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score at and quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-) to which:	Final Score  10  Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  Post-Discussion Notes:	Delibera Process
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluate osts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  ne proposed budget provides a detailed	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds te the proposed project budget and narrative to detectoposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  ermine the exterental outcomes Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  nt and quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score 4 points)	Score Change (+/-) to which: Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti
ps in project performance will be evaluated by the project performance will evaluate the project performance will be evaluated by the project points of the project performance will be evaluated by the	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Through Land te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  ermine the exter ental outcomes Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  at and quality  (3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Deliberar Process Exempti Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluated by the project performance will evaluate the project performance will be evaluated by the project points of the project performance will be evaluated by the	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  thand quality (3 points) Pre-Panel Score 4 points) Pre-Panel Score expended in a	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  lengths (Reasons for awarding points)  lerion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points are reasonable to accomplish the plants are reasonable to accomplish the proposed budget provides a detailed lengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Through Land te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  ermine the exter ental outcomes Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  at and quality  (3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  2  Final Score  3  ient manner. (5  Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
pups in project performance will be evaluationation. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)  rerion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points)  rerion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding the criterion, the Agency will evaluate the proposed budget provides a detailed rengths (Reasons for awarding points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  be breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  ocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grants	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  Int and quality  (.3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points of the performance of the Agency will evaluate obsts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  me proposed budget provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  me applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 7: Voluntary Leveraging	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  be breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  ocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grants	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  Int and quality  (.3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change	Final Score  10  Final Score  2  Final Score  3  ient manner. (5  Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding this criterion, the Agency will evaluate osts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  he proposed budget provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  he applicant conveys their approach, provides (Reasons for awarding points)  he engths (Reasons for awarding points)  he engths (Reasons for awarding points)  he engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 7: Voluntary Leveraging  er this criterion, applicants will be evaluated.	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds & Classics Classics  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  occedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  are the classics of the classics Classics Classics  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  int funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  Int and quality  (.3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  2  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
pus in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Properties)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deterproposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  ocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grace  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  int funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  Int and quality  (.3 points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  2  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
ps in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding points of the performance of the Agency will evaluate obsts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  me proposed budget provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  me applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 7: Voluntary Leveraging er this criterion, applicants will be evaluated on the proposed project(s) and/or	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Properties)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  occedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gray  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  used (Reasons for not awarding points)  used based on the extent they demonstrate:	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  pre-Panel Descriptor  pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score  A points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score expended in a pre-Panel Score expended in a pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
purpose in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding beingths)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Williams (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Williams (Reasons for not awarding points)  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  wated based on the extent they demonstrate:  funding with other federal and/or non-federal source  ties relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  es of funds/reso  y the applicant v	Pre-Panel Score  thand quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score  expended in a vita of the pre-Panel Score  with other sou	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic  Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 cources beyond	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to	Delibera Process Exempti Delibera
ups in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (A 1) Subject (SE)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deterorposed goals, objectives, and measurable environments (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each (Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  cocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gray (Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  es of funds/reso  y the applicant v ged resources, til	Pre-Panel Score  At and quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score Pre-Panel Score Pre-Panel Score  urces to leverative	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic  Score Change (+/-)  are additional recorded from the leveraging with the leveraging wi	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 cources beyond	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to	Deliberar Process Exempti Delibera
ups in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Williams (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds Williams (Reasons for not awarding points)  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  wated based on the extent they demonstrate:  funding with other federal and/or non-federal source  ties relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  es of funds/reso  y the applicant v ged resources, til	Pre-Panel Score  At and quality . (3 points) Pre-Panel Score Pre-Panel Score Pre-Panel Score  urces to leverative	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic  Score Change (+/-)  are additional recorded from the leveraging with the leveraging wi	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to	Exempti Delibera Process
ups in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (A 1) Subject (SE)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deterorposed goals, objectives, and measurable environments (Reasons for not awarding points)  breakout of the approximate funding used for each (Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  cocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gray (Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)  the state of the seasons for not awarding points (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  int funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  es of funds/reso  y the applicant v ged resources, to	Pre-Panel Score  A points) Pre-Panel Score  Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score  vith other sou the likelihood t project activit	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effit Score Change (+/-)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 cources beyond	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to  dicants will also be evaluated uring grant performance, the	Exempting Process  Exempting Deliberary Process
purpose in project performance will be evaluaboration. (10 points)  rengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Procession)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  occedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gray  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  uated based on the extent they demonstrate:  funding with other federal and/or non-federal sources  ties relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by g proposed, how the applicant will obtain the leveraged the relevant of the proposed will play to support	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  y the applicant we ged resources, tit the proposed Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel Score  A points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score  urces to levera with other sou the likelihood t project activit Pre-Panel	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change (+/-)  are considered and the leveraging will less. (5 points)  Score Change (5 spoints)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to  licants will also be evaluated uring grant performance, the	Exempti Delibera Process  Exempti Delibera Process
ps in project performance will be evaluable boration. (10 points)  engths (Reasons for awarding points)  erion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awarding to the serious criterion, the Agency will evaluate basts are reasonable to accomplish the pengths (Reasons for awarding points)  the proposed budget provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys their approach, provides a detailed engths (Reasons for awarding points)  the applicant conveys the applicant engths (Reasons for aw	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  arded Grant Funds (Procession)  te the proposed project budget and narrative to deteroposed goals, objectives, and measurable environm  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  I breakout of the approximate funding used for each  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  occedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gray  Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  uated based on the extent they demonstrate:  funding with other federal and/or non-federal sources  ties relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by g proposed, how the applicant will obtain the leveraged the relevant of the proposed will play to support	Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  Pre-Panel Descriptor  major activity. ( Pre-Panel Descriptor  ant funds will be Pre-Panel Descriptor  y the applicant we ged resources, tit the proposed Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel Score  A points) Pre-Panel Score  4 points) Pre-Panel Score  expended in a Pre-Panel Score  urces to levera with other sou the likelihood t project activit Pre-Panel	Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  Score Change (+/-)  a timely and effic Score Change (+/-)  are considered and the leveraging will less. (5 points)  Score Change (5 spoints)	Final Score  10  Final Score  1  Final Score  3 ient manner. (3  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)  Final Score  3 ient manner. (4)	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  3 points)  Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change  d the grant funds awarded to  licants will also be evaluated uring grant performance, the	Exempti Delibera Process  Exempti Delibera Process

FY20: Sustaina	ble Materials Management <i>I</i> Supporting Anaerobic Diges				ling Opp	ortunity	
	~Scoring						
	Instructions: Please fill out all of the		he cells provid	led			
Grants.gov Tracking Number:	GRANT13166548		inc cens provid				F (
Applicant Name:							Exemption 6:
Project Title: State:	Northeast Anaerobic Digestion Accelerator  Massachusetts						Personal
Region:	R1						Privacy
Reviewer's First and Last Name:							-
Reviewer's Organization and Region:							
	Total Ranking Score (100 P	oint Maximum)	Pre-Panel Score	Panel Score Changes	Final Score		Exemption 5: Deliberative Process
	Evaluation	Criteria					
directly and explicitly address these criteri		). Failure to foll	ow the require	d template in Ap	ppendix A may i	result in a reduction of up to 5	
Section 1, Parts B (Scope of Work), C (EPA Strategic Plan Linkage),	stive proposal includes a well-conceived strategy for a	addressing the i	requirements	ın			Evenution
and D (Measuring Environmental Results: A	nticipated Outcomes and Outputs). (15 Points)	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	-1	Post-Discussion Notes:	Exemption
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change	Deliberative
					15		Process
b. The extent and quality to which the narra project end. (10 points)	ative proposal sets forth a clear and reasonable time	schedule and as	ssociated proje	Score Change	eving the proje	ct goals and objectives by  Post-Discussion Notes:	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change	
					8		
Did the applicant use the Template for Na	rative Proposal?	Yes	(				
Criterion 2: Environmental Results and Per	formance Measurement: Anticipated Outcomes and	d Outputs (0-20	points total)				
	aluated based on the extent to which the "Narrative led on the extent and quality to which the application:		tically describe	es how the proje	ect will lead to n	neasurable environmental	
Measuring Environmental Results: Anticipa	neasure success in meeting the project s objectives. ( ted Outcomes and Outputs. Outcomes and outputs n ement. Include quantitative targets as appropriate. (1	nust be quantita					
Character (Barrers for according a single	Market Programme Control of the Cont	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	Exemption 5:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	10	Reason for Score Change	Deliberative
b. Describes the plan for achieving project r	esults (including the outcomes and outputs identified	d in Section 1). (	(5 points)		10		
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	Process
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	5	Reason for Score Change	
c. Describes how the project is transferable	to other communities. (5 points)			<u> </u>			
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	5	Reason for Score Change	
Criterion 3: Programmatic Capability and F	ast Performance (0-15 points)						
Under this criterion, applicants will be evalu	nated based on their ability to successfully complete	and manage the	e proposed pro	oject, taking into	account:		Exemption 5:
a. Past performance in successfully complet announcement. (5 points)	ting and managing projects, including but not limited				ents described		Deliberative
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	Process
h History of mosting the	ments under the assistance agreements described in	Costion 4 -fri		nt Identify w	thornor	signation culturities described t	
final technical reports under those agreeme	ments under the assistance agreements described in ents and the extent to which the applicant adequatel ach progress was not being made whether the applic	y and timely rep	orted on thei	r progress towar		· ·	
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:	
						Reason for Score Change	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	E	The state of the s	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)  c. Organizational experience and plan for ti	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)  mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the uccessfully achieve the goals of the proposed project	Descriptor proposed proje			5 ertise/qualifica		Exemption 5:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)  c. Organizational experience and plan for ti resources or the ability to obtain them, to s	mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the uccessfully achieve the goals of the proposed project	proposed proje t. (5 points) Pre-Panel	ect. This could	include staff exp	5 ertise/qualifica Final Score	tions, staff knowledge, and Post-Discussion Notes:	Deliberative
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)  c. Organizational experience and plan for ti	mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the	Descriptor proposed proje t. (5 points)	ect. This could	include staff exp		tions, staff knowledge, and	-
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)  c. Organizational experience and plan for tiresources or the ability to obtain them, to s  Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)  Criterion 4: Project Sustainability	mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the uccessfully achieve the goals of the proposed project	proposed proje t. (5 points) Pre-Panel	ect. This could	include staff exp		tions, staff knowledge, and Post-Discussion Notes:	Deliberative

Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change
				<u> </u>	5	
	hich the project supports a state or local mandate, p	olicy, or commu	inity priority to	remove tood w	aste and orgar	nic materials from the municip
aste streams. (10 points)		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change
or awarang points)	veakinesses (neusons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	30010	(.,,	10	
riterion 5: Effective Partnerships (0-10 po	nts-total)		_			
	e the application based on how well the applicant ad	dresses the foll	owing:			
, , ,	· · ·					
Describes the partnerships and/or coalitic	on currently in place to support the proposed project	and why it is su	ifficient to con	nplete the propo	sed project. If	the partnership will be
spanded, describe the additional members	that will be engaged and/or recruited.					
Describes the role of each partner on the	project and their experience working with the target	t audience and/	or sectors add	ressed. Applican	ts that do not	plan on collaborating with
her groups in project performance will be	evaluated based on the extent to which they demon	strate how they	will be able to	o effectively per	form and comp	plete the project without such
llaboration. (10 points)						
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Casus	Post-Discussion Notes:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	Reason for Score Change
					10	
iterion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Awa	rded Grant Funds (0-10 points total)					
nder this criterion, the Agency will evaluat	e the proposed project budget and narrative to dete	rmine the exten	t and quality t	o which:		
Costs are reasonable to accomplish the pr	roposed goals, objectives, and measurable environment	ental outcomes	(3 points)			
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:
itrengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	rinai Score	Reason for Score Change
					3	
The proposed budget provides a detailed	breakout of the approximate funding used for each	major activity. (4	points)			
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Fillal Score	Reason for Score Change
					4	
The applicant conveys their approach, pro	ocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gra				ient manner. (	
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Tillal Score	Reason for Score Change
					3	
riterion 7: Voluntary Leveraging (D-5 point	s total)					
nder this criterion, applicants will be evalu	ated based on the extent they demonstrate:					
	unding with other federal and/or non-federal source	s of funds/resou	urces to levera	ge additional re	sources beyon	d the grant funds awarded to
arry out the proposed project(s)						
and/or						
	ies relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by					
	g proposed, how the applicant will obtain the leverag				ll materialize d	uring grant performance, the
rength of the leveraging commitment, and	the role the leveraged resources will play to suppor					
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes:
trengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)		Reason for Score Change
					5	
eneral Comments						
you would like to include any general com	ments on the applicant's response to the evaluation	criteria please p	out them here	. These commen	ts will not impa	act scoring.

Exemption 5: Deliberative Process

Exemption 5: Deliberative Process

Exemption 5: Deliberative Process

Exemption 5: Deliberative Process

	FY20: Sustainable Materials Management Anaerobic Digestion Funding Opportunity
	Supporting Anaerobic Digestion in Communities RFA
	~Scoring Sheet~
	Instructions: Please fill out all of the information in the cells provided.
	Grants gov Tracking Number: GRANT13166548
□	Applicant Name: Center for Ecotechnology
Exempti	Project Title: Northeast Anaerobic Digestion Accelerator
6: Perso	State: Massachusetts
Privacy	Region: R1
	Reviewer's First and Last Name:
	Reviewer's Organization and Region:
Exemption 5: Deliberate Process	Total Ranking Score (100 Point Maximum)  Pre-Panel Score Changes  Final Score 93
	Evaluation Criteria

Region:	R1						Privacy
Reviewer's First and Last Name:		_					
Reviewer's Organization and Region:							j
			Pre-Panel	Panel Score		1	Exemption
	Total Ranking Score (100 F	oint Maximum)		Changes	Final Score		5:
					93		Deliberative
						-	Process
	Evaluation	n Criteria					
Eligible entities whose applications meet	the threshold criteria in Section 3 of this announceme	ent will be review	ved according	to the evaluatio	n criterion set f	orth below. Applicants must	
	a in the Template for Narrative Proposal (Appendix A						
points under the "Project Sum Criterion 1: Project Summary and Approach	nmary and Approach" evaluation criterion. Each appl	ication will be ra	ted under a po	ints system, wit	h a total of 100	) points possible.	
Under this criterion, the Agency will evaluat	* * *						
onder this enterior, the Agency will evaluate							
a. The extent and quality to which the narra	tive proposal includes a well-conceived strategy for a	addressing the re	quirements in				
Section 1, Parts B (Scope of Work),							
C (EPA Strategic Plan Linkage),	nticipated Outcomer and Outputs\ (15 Points)						
and D (Measuring Environmental Results. A	nticipated Outcomes and Outputs). (15 Points)	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	1
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
							]
							Exemption
							5:
							Deliberative
							Process
			_	_			
					15		
	tive proposal sets forth a clear and reasonable time	schedule and ass	ociated projec	t tasks for achie	ving the projec	t goals and objectives by	
project end. (10 points)	T	Dec Decel	Dee Deesl	Carra Channa	<u> </u>	Dest Dissuraise Natura Bassas	l
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	
Strengths (neusons for awarang points)	weaknesses (neasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	30016	(+7-1		ioi score change	1
							Exemption
							5:
					9		Deliberative
Did the applicant use the Template for Nar	rative Proposal?	Yes	0	1			Process
	formance Measurement: Anticipated Outcomes and		oints total)				
Under this criteries, applications will be our	luated based on the extent to which the "Narrative F	Proposal" roalisti	cally doceribos	haw the project	t will load to m	oncurable environmental	
	ed on the extent and quality to which the application:		cally describes	now the projec	t will lead to ill	easurable environmental	
The proposal time of the action of the actio							
	neasure success in meeting the project's objectives. O		-				
_	ted Outcomes and Outputs. Outcomes and outputs m		ive or qualitati	ive and must me	easure environ	mental improvement or should	
be directly linked to environmental improve	ement. Include quantitative targets as appropriate. (1 I	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	ı	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
,	(			.,,			1
							l_
		1					Exemption
							5:
		1					Deliberative
			•				Process
b. Describes the plan for achieving project r	esults (including the outcomes and outputs identified	d in Section 1) (s	points)		· °	<u> </u>	1
project	,	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	e	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	1
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	ı

							1
					4		
c. Describes how the project is transferable	to other communities. (5 points)						Exemptio
Character (Donous for according a sintel	Washington (Bossess for not superdisc points)	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	<b>5</b> :
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)		for Score Change	_ Deliberat
							Process
					5		
Criterion 3: Programmatic Capability and P	ast Performance (0-15 points)						
	nated based on their ability to successfully complete a	nd manage the	proposed proje	ect, taking into a	ccount:		
a Past performance in successfully complete	ing and managing projects, including but not limited t	to fodoral and n	an fodoral acci	stanco agroomo	nts doscribad i	n Section 4 of this	
announcement. (5 points)	ing and managing projects, including but not infilted t	to rederar and m	Jii-ieueiai assi:	stance agreeme	iits described i	ii section 4 or this	
- Control of Position		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	n
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
							Exemption
							5:
							Delibera
					J		Process
	nents under the assistance agreements described in S						
	ents and the extent to which the applicant adequately				s achieving the	expected outputs and	
outcomes under those agreements and it so	ich progress was not being made whether the applica	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	1
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
			_				
					5		
c. Organizational experience and plan for tir	mely and successfully achieving the objectives of the p	proposed projec	t. This could in	clude staff expe	rtise/qualificat	ions, staff knowledge, and	
	uccessfully achieve the goals of the proposed project.			ciade stail expe	r tise, quaimeat	ions, stan knowledge, and	
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	Exemption
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	rillal Score	for Score Change	5:
							Delibera
							Process
			1		4		
Criterion 4: Project Sustainability (1-15 pm)	nts total)						
Under this criterion, applicants will be evalu	ated based on the extent and quality to which:						
The applicant on demonstrate the shilling	to manage and continue offertate account AD often	FDA funding for	this was in at he			n 1 of the DEA	
(5 points)	to promote and continue efforts to support AD after I	EPA fullulling for	tilis project na:	s enueu, as uesc	ilibeu III Sectio	II I OI LIIE KFA.	
(C p c)		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	-:	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	n
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
			_		_		
					4		Exemption
h. The application describes the extent to w	hich the project supports a state or local mandate, po	olicy or commun	nity priority to	remove food wa	ste and organ	ic materials from the municinal	5:
waste streams. (10 points)	men the project supports a state of local mandate, pe	oney, or commu	ncy priority to	remove rood we	iste una organ	e materials from the manicipal	Deliberat
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	-: 10	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	Process
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
		]					
		]					
					10		'
Criterion 5: Effective Partnerships (1-11) po	ints total)			·			
Under this criterion, the Agency will evaluat	e the application based on how well the applicant ad	dresses the follo	wing:				
a Describes the next reaching and for a live	on currently in place to support the	and where it is a	ficiontto	alata the same	od preject 16:	ho partnership will be	
<ul> <li>Describes the partnerships and/or coalitions</li> <li>expanded, describe the additional members</li> </ul>	on currently in place to support the proposed project	and why it is suf	ncient to comp	piete the propos	ea project. If t	ne partnership will be	
	project and their experience working with the target	audience and/o	r sectors addre	essed. Applicant	s that do not n	lan on collaborating with other	
groups in project performance will be evalu	project and their experience working with the target ated based on the extent to which they demonstrate						

Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Pre-Panel Descriptor	Pre-Panel Score	Score Change (+/-)	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason for Score Change	
							Exe
							5:
					10		Del
Criterion 6: Budget and Expenditure of Aw	arded Grant Funds (0-10 points total)		_				Pro
· ·	te the proposed project budget and narrative to deter	rmine the exten	t and quality to	which:			110
Costs are reasonable to accomplish the p	roposed goals, objectives, and measurable environme	ental outcomes.	(3 points)				İ
·		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	İ
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
							l _
							Exe
					2	2	5:
. The proposed budget provides a detailed	breakout of the approximate funding used for each r	major activity. (4	1 points)			•	Del
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	Pro
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)_	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Filial Score	for Score Change	
_					4		
The applicant conveys their approach, pro	ocedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded gra	nt funds will be	expended in a	timely and effici	ent manner. (	3 points)	
		Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change	Final Score	Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)	Timur Score	for Score Change	
			_				
					3	3	
riterion 7: Voluntary Leveraging (0-5 puln	ts total)						
nder this criterion, applicants will be evalu	uated based on the extent they demonstrate:						
Harrish and the second tracks the same of EDA 4	5 d'	<b></b>				laborate for de consula data	Exe
arry out the proposed project(s)	funding with other federal and/or non-federal source	s or runus/resou	rces to leverag	ge additional resi	ources beyond	the grant lunus awarded to	5:
and/or							De
	cies relevant to the proposed project(s) carried out by	the applicant w	ith other cour	oos of funds or re	sources Appl	icants will also be evaluated	Pro
	g proposed, how the applicant will obtain the leverag						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g proposed, now the applicant will obtain the leverag d the role the leveraged resources will play to suppor				materialize di	iring grant performance, the	
trength of the leveraging commitment, and	the role the leveraged resources will play to suppor	Pre-Panel	Pre-Panel	Score Change		Post-Discussion Notes: Reason	l
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Washnesses (Pageons for not awarding points)	Descriptor		(+/-)	Final Score	for Score Change	
Strengths (Reasons for awaraing points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Descriptor	Score	(+/-)		for Score Change	l
			_	d .	_		

## General Comments

If you would like to include any general comments on the applicant's response to the evaluation criteria please put them here. These comments will not impact scoring.